



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,751	02/20/2004	Raymond Anthony Joao	RJ455	3715

7590 11/19/2007
RAYMOND A. JOAO, ESQ.
122 BELLEVUE PLACE
YONKERS, NY 10703

EXAMINER

BLOUNT, ERIC

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/19/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/781,751	Applicant(s) JOAO, RAYMOND ANTHONY	
	Examiner Eric M. Blount	Art Unit 2612	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Eric M. Blount.

(3) ____

 (2) Mr. Raymond Joao.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 15 November 2007
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 11/15/07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: Mr. Joao contacted examiner to discuss the double patenting rejection of claims 31-50. Mr. Joao presented examiner with a proposed amendment to both independent claims and showed support for the amendments in Figures 6A & 6B and paragraph 355. Examiner indicated that at first glance the proposed amendment appeared to overcome the double patenting rejection but an updated search would be conducted once a formal amendment is placed in the file.



11/15/07